

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE . FUJ014691 12/29/97 FUJINO 08/999,308 **EXAMINER** WM41/0521 ENG, G HELFGOTT & KARAS PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT EMPIRE STATE BUILDING **60TH FLOOR** 2643 NEW YORK NY 10118-0110

DATE MAILED:

05/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action		Application No. 08/999,308	Applicant(s) Fujino et al.		al
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		George Eng		2643	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -					
reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>May 9, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feel liance with 37 CFR 1.114.	the abandonment of t tiled amendment whi	his applicat	ion. A proper re	eply to a final
	THE PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check only a)	or b)]		
a)	The period for reply expires months from the r	nailing date of the final rejec	ction.		
	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within twe prices on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR consists later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the rejection.	ontinues to run from the eply expire later than SIX M	mailing date ONTHS from	of the final rejection the mailing date of t	on, whichever the final
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduc	ne period of extension and to the expiration date of the s Any reply received by the	he correspond hortened statu Office later tha	ing amount of the fe tory period for reply	ee. The originally
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief r .191(d)), to avoid dism	nust be filed issal of the	d within the perio	od set forth in
2. 🗌	requisite fees.				
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a)	a) 🕅 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);				
(b)	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);				
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in bet issues for appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by	materially re	educing or simpl	lifying the
(d)	$\hfill\Box$ they present additional claims without cancelling a	corresponding numbe	r of finally re	ejected claims.	
	NOTE: the newly amended claims further define to fe consideration and search.	tch data of Web sites	raising new	issues that wou	ıld require further
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(s):			
5. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-a	allowable claim(s).		_ would be allow	vable if submitted
6. 🗆	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has be	en consider	ed but does NO	T place the
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaus the Examiner in the final rejection.	e it is not directed SOI	ELY to issu	ues which were i	newly raised by
8. 🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as Claim(s) allowed:	s follows (see attached	l written exp	planation, if any)	:

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 01-01)

11. Other:

Claim(s) objected to: _

Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 8-14

9. The proposed drawing correction filed on __

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.

SUPERVISORY PARENT EXAMINER

CUBILIS KUNIT